

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/686,784 10/10/2000 Miguel Philipe Paul Peeters 1875.5450000 4881 26111 7590 10/17/2005 **EXAMINER** STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC WANG, TED M 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2634

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W.	
	09/686,784	PEETERS, MIGUEL PHILIPE PAUL		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ted M. Wang	2634		

	i ed M. Wang	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 	21. See attached Notice of Non-C): Ilowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation request for reconsideration has been considered by	nd sufficient reasons why the affidates and the sufficient reasons why the affidates and the sufficient reasons why the affidates and the status of the claims after and sufficient reasons after a sufficient reasons why the affidates are sufficient reasons why the affidates are sufficient reasons as a sufficient reasons as a sufficient reasons a sufficient reasons as a sufficient reasons are sufficient reasons as a sufficient reasons as a sufficient reasons a sufficient reasons as a sufficient reason reason reason reasons as a sufficient reason	evit or other evidence he date of filing a brief eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) entry is below or attac	is necessary , will <u>not</u> be alls to provide a (1). ched.		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new requirements in the claims were never before present and would require further consideration and/or search.

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600